

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

SINGULAR COMPUTING LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

GOOGLE LLC,

Defendant.

Civil Action No. 1:19-cv-12551-FDS

Hon. F. Dennis Saylor IV

**PLAINTIFF SINGULAR COMPUTING LLC'S UNOPPOSED
MOTION TO IMPOUND PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 7.2**

Pursuant to Local Rule 7.2 and the Protective Order in this case (“Protective Order”), ECF No. 87, plaintiff, Singular Computing LLC (“Singular”), respectfully requests the Court to impound (seal): Plaintiff’s Opposition to Google’s Motion to Exclude Certain Expert Opinion Testimony of Philip Green, and Exhibits A, B, H-S to the Declaration of Daniel McGonagle in support of Plaintiff’s Opposition to Google’s Motion to Exclude Certain Expert Opinion Testimony of Philip Green. Defendant Google LLC (“Google”) does not oppose this Motion to Impound/Seal.

The Protective Order allows the parties to designate discovery material as “Highly Confidential - Source Code” or “Highly Confidential - Attorney’s Eyes Only” upon determining that it “contains or reflects information that is extremely confidential and/or sensitive in nature and the Producing Party reasonably believes that the disclosure of such Discovery Material is likely to cause economic harm or significant competitive disadvantage to the Producing Party.” *Id.* at ¶ 8(a) and ¶ 9(a).

The Protective Order requires a party intending to make court filings referring to material designated as “Highly Confidential - Attorney’s Eyes Only” to bring a motion to impound. *Id.* at

¶ 14. The following contain information that has been designated by one or both of the parties hereto as “Highly Confidential - Source Code” or “Highly Confidential - Attorney’s Eyes Only” under the Protective Order:

- Plaintiff’s Opposition to Google’s Motion to Exclude Certain Expert Opinion Testimony of Philip Green; and
- Exhibits A, B, and H-S to the Declaration of Daniel McGonagle in support of Plaintiff’s Opposition to Google’s Motion to Exclude Certain Expert Opinion Testimony of Philip Green.

Accordingly, redacted public versions of the above documents were filed today. For Plaintiff’s Opposition to Google’s Motion to Exclude Certain Expert Opinion Testimony of Philip Green, Singular filed a redacted version with quotations and/or discussions of material that has been designated as containing “Highly Confidential - Source Code” or “Highly Confidential - Attorney’s Eyes Only” information.

For the foregoing reasons, Singular respectfully requests that the Court permit it to file the above identified documents under seal. Singular further requests that the documents remain impounded until further Order by the Court, and that upon expiration of the impoundment that the documents be returned to Singular’s counsel.

Dated: May 19, 2023

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Daniel McGonagle

Matthew D. Vella (BBO #660171)
Adam R. Doherty (BBO #669499)
Kevin Gannon (BBO #640931)
Brian Seeve (BBO #670455)
Daniel McGonagle (BBO #690084)
PRINCE LOBEL TYE LLP
One International Place, Suite 3700
Boston, MA 02110
Tel: (617) 456-8000
mvella@princelobel.com
adoherty@princelobel.com
kgannon@princelobel.com
bseeve@princelobel.com
dmcgonagle@princelobel.com

ATTORNEYS FOR THE PLAINTIFF

LOCAL RULE 7.1(a)(2) CERTIFICATION

I hereby certify that counsel for Singular conferred with Defendant's counsel in a good-faith attempt to resolve or narrow the issue raised by this motion. Defendant's counsel informed me that Google does not oppose the relief sought in this motion.

/s/ Kevin Gannon

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that all counsel of record who have consented to electronic service are being served with a copy of this document via the Court's CM/ECF system.

/s/ Daniel McGonagle
